1	CITY OF NORTH CANTON, OHIO
2	CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF
6	MAY 24, 2021, MEETING
7	VIRTUAL MEETING
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Transcript of Proceedings of the North Canton City
13	Council, taken by me, the undersigned, Laurie Maryl Jonas,
14	a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public in and for
15	the State of Ohio, at North Canton, Ohio, on Monday,
16	May 24, 2021, at 7:00 p.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Premier Court Reporting Canton 330.492.4221 Akron 330.928.1418
25	www.premierreporters.com

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Daniel Jeff Peters, Council President, Ward 2
3	Daryl Revoldt, Council Vice President, At Large
4	Doug Foltz, Ward 1
5	Stephanie Werren, Ward 3
6	Dominic Fonte, Ward 4
7	Mark R. Cerreta, At Large
8	Matthew Stroia, At Large
9	Catherine A. Farina, Deputy Director of Administration and Development
10	Jina Alaback, Director of Finance
11	Robert G. Graham, Engineering Services
12	Martin Van Gundy IV, Chief Building Official
13	Stephan B. Wilder, Mayor
14 15	Benjamin R. Young, Clerk
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	MR. PETERS: Okay. Good evening, everyone.
2	I'd like to call to order the City of North Canton
3	City Council meeting, May 24, 2021. The time is
4	7:01 p.m.
5	Mark, would you like to lead us in prayer.
6	MR. CERRETA: Sure would. Sure would.
7	Lord, thank you for bring us together, both
8	the council, administration, and the community.
9	Thank you for giving us the honor for serving our
10	community. Thank you for the understanding that you
11	give us to the community. Help us to be better in
12	every way we can to help our community grow and be
13	safe and be alive and have a great future. We ask
14	that you continue to give us the courage, the
15	determination and the compassion to serve the people
16	of our community. We thank you for the safety you've
17	given us throughout these difficult times.
18	In God's name we pray, Amen.
19	MR. PETERS: Amen. Thank you, Mark.
20	All right. At this time if you would please
21	join me in the Pledge of Allegiance.
22	(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)
23	MR. PETERS: Okay. Ben, would you please
24	call the roll.
25	MR. YOUNG: Member Stroia?

1	MR. STROIA: Here.
2	MR. YOUNG: Member Revoldt.
3	MR. REVOLDT: Here.
4	MR. YOUNG: Member Peters.
5	MR. PETERS: Here.
6	MR. YOUNG: Member Foltz.
7	MR. FOLTZ: Here.
8	MR. YOUNG: Member Cerreta?
9	MR. CERRETA: Here.
10	MR. YOUNG: Member Fonte.
11	MR. FONTE: Here.
12	MR. YOUNG: Member Werren.
13	MRS. WERREN: Here.
14	MR. YOUNG: Seven present.
15	MR. PETERS: All right, thank you.
16	All right. For your consideration, I need a
17	motion and a second to approve as presented minutes
18	from April 26 council; minutes from April 26
19	committee of the whole; minutes from April 30 special
20	council; minutes from May 3 committee of the whole;
21	minutes from May 3 special council; minutes from
22	May 10 city council; minutes from May 17 public
23	hearing; Part 17 of Property Maintenance Code as
24	adopted by Ordinance 2-21; Chapter 139 as amended by
25	Ordinance 13-21; Chapter 140 created and based on

1	Ordinance 11-21; Chapter 148 created and based on
2	12-21; Chapter 505 as amended by Ordinance 14-21;
3	Chapter 521 as amended by Ordinance 16-21; Chapter
4	719 as amended by Ordinance 18-21; Chapter 907 as
5	amended by Ordinance Chapter or Ordinance 19-21,
6	and Chapter 953 as amended by Ordinance 25-21.
7	MR. FOLTZ: Foltz motions to approve as
8	
	presented.
9	MR. CERRETA: Cerreta seconds.
10	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
11	("Aye" in unison.)
12	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
13	carries.
14	Let the Record reflect that Peters abstains
15	from Item 5.e, the minutes from May 3, '21, special
16	council.
17	All right. Moving on, we now move into
18	recognition of the public. Ben, we have four
19	speakers, so at this time I will turn it over to you.
20	Just a quick reminder, there is a five-minute time
21	limit. And, Ben, take it away.
22	MR. YOUNG: I have also been asked to remind
23	everyone to speak into your microphone.
24	First up is Mr. Osborne. Mr. Osborne.
25	MR. OSBORNE: I am here.

1 MR. YOUNG: When you're ready.

MR. OSBORNE: I'm here.

Chuck Osborne, 307 Fairview Street, Southeast, North Canton, Ohio.

When I ran for a seat on North Canton city council 20 years ago, I was not aware pension benefits could be accrued, nor did I expect or desire a pension. I simply wanted to serve the community and bring about open, honest government. Although the salary legislation on tonight's agenda is under the finance and property committee, chaired by Council Member Werren, Council Member Revoldt introduced the increase in salary legislation last week with a brazen pronouncement complete with a PowerPoint presentation that salaries for North Canton elected officials must keep up with OPERS minimum salary requirements.

The salary requirement Mr. Revoldt is talking about is the minimum monthly salary required by OPERS to receive full-service pension credit. What Mr. Revoldt failed to say is that it is not an all or nothing OPERS requirement. OPERS does give part-time service credit for salaries that do not meet the minimum monthly salary amount.

Council's current salary of \$660 per month,

as stated in the PowerPoint presentation, is another less-than-full disclosure piece of information regarding council's monthly compensation. In addition to the \$660 per month, taxpayers contribute an additional 14 percent of that salary toward each councilperson's pension. This monthly taxpayers' supported pension contribution adds an additional \$92.40 per month toward that elected official's monthly compensation, raising the total monthly compensation to \$752.40 per month. Bringing the annual salary to 9,028.80 per year.

Council's 2020 meeting schedule resulted in 43 meeting dates. Using an average of 2 hours per each meeting, council were required attendance at meetings lasting 86 hours last year. Given current compensation rates in a typical year's meeting hours, council members averaged an hourly rate of \$113.38 per hour. Extrapolated to a 40 -- full-time 40-hour-a-week job, members of city council would have grossed a monthly salary of \$19,653. And that equates to an annual salary of more than \$235,854.

One particular PowerPoint slide focused on a comparison of compensation for elected officials in other communities. I would suggest a comparison to compensation earned by your constituents. Does any

elected official have constituents who earn \$113.38 per hour in their job?

In another PowerPoint slide, there was a bullet remarking that council lost healthcare. No one on city council has had to go without healthcare. For years it seemed ludicrous that elected officials had double family healthcare coverage, a Cadillac full-family coverage provided by North Canton taxpayers on top of the benefits provided by that council member's full-time employers. Through my efforts, North Canton voters ended this practice in the 2012 general election. The ending of this flagrant misuse of taxpayers' monies over the last years — eight years has saved taxpayers in excess of \$1.2 million.

It is my perception that individuals run for a seat on city council because they want to better the city and contribute something positive back to their community. I do not believe individuals run for public office in hopes they can earn a pension and qualify for it with a meeting schedule averaging seven hours per month.

Lastly, it is my understanding that OPERS has increased the number of years needed to qualify for a pension for OPERS from 10 to 15 years. Perhaps if

this legislative body is so committed to ensuring 1 2 that its members qualify for pension under OPERS, 3 council needs to have companion legislation changing 4 the term of council members in the city charter to 15 5 Council members would then be assured of 6 qualifying for a pension. This sounds crazy, and it 7 is crazy. This is about as crazy as jacking up 8 salaries of North Canton elected officials to keep 9 pace with minimum salary requirements set by 10 bureaucrats a hundred miles away in Columbus. 11 no on Ordinance No. 40-2021. 12 Thank you. 13 MR. FONTE: Thank you. 14 MR. YOUNG: Mr. Mulqueen, you're up next. 15 Am I unmuted? MR. MULQUEEN: 16 MR. YOUNG: We can hear you now. 17 MR. MULQUEEN: All righty. My name is Joseph 18 Mulqueen --19 MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. 20 MR. MULQUEEN: Hello. My name is Joseph 21 Mulqueen. I'm a recovering alcoholic staying sober 22 one day at a time at 1016 Park Avenue, Northeast, and 23 I would like to begin my speech with some words by

John Fitzgerald Kennedy that my godmother down the

street and my Vietnam veteran neighbor Ray heard

24

25

before I was even a thought to be brought into this And his words say, The very word "secrecy" is a repungent [sic] in the free -- is repungent in a free and open society. And we are, as a people, inherently and historically opposed to secret societies, to secret oaths and to secret proceedings. And the reason why I bring this up is because we, the people of North Canton, we, the people of Ohio, and we, the people of the United States of America, cannot trust the police as long as secret societies exist because it's blatantly obvious. And, you know, there's a guy who wrote the article that I shared among the fellow administration how the Freemasons and police have a secret handshake now. And I also shared with Mr. Cerreta how, you know, thanks to the FBI and all the investigation team that found out that the Baltimore Masonic Lodge was embezzling a million dollars out of it because I go to counseling once a month, on top of many things I've seen as a kid, my old football coach, Mr. Houses, was embezzling money and somehow this went underneath everyone's noses. And, you know, I wish he had the courage to turn himself in to do the prison time he did, but sadly, he put something very grave on his wife and kids and, you know, the kids that he coached what he did.

And this brings me to my second point because I am now a victim of police brutality in this town after being a victim of harassment, hazing and assault. And Friday night, you know, usually once a month I like to go down and support my local business El Rincon. And I was skating back home and somebody's dog got out of their yard and almost bit me in the ankle. And I have two dogs at home and I know how to deescalate something, so I safely exited off my board. And the dog went back on the yard and the property owner approached me yelling some profane things and pushed me twice. And this was all May 14 around 6:40 or 7 p.m.

And then last week he kicked my burrito, and then my head, so that's the camel that broke the straw's back, or whatever the saying goes. And I called 911 and said how, you know, I was pushed twice by this obvious criminal, the aggressor, and he kicked my burrito. And, you know, I wasn't going home without my leftovers. And, you know, I called 911 hoping the police would document the situation in a nice, fair manner, treating me, the victim of assault, with respect. And when the officer showed up and I tried telling him that I lived down the

street where this person assaulted me at, I said let's go down to my house and I'll tell you how he pushed me twice and kicked my burrito. And my skateboard was on the ground and I went to, you know -- I thought I had the right to, you know, walk down the street to my house, you know, the victim of assault. And I went to pick up my skateboard and this officer, I want to say, and I told Sam Ferruccio, my old family friend that I met at Winking Lizard, that, you know, he put his hand on me and pushed me twice like I was a criminal when I was the victim of assault. And this officer wants to try saying that the skateboard could have been a weapon when the United States Supreme Court and God bless Amy would define the skateboard, Webster dictionary word is a toy, not a weapon, and even the Supreme Court of Ohio would label a skateboard as a toy and means of transportation for someone who is disabled.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it's a shame our police officers treat the disabled when they treat me with disrespect and when they violate the constitution and illegally put their hands on me, they also violate everybody in this country's rights, they violate everybody in the state's rights, and ultimately they violate everybody in North Canton's rights. And now that I'm disabled,

I represent the disabled demographic. So when they 1 2 illegally put their hands on me, you know, and, you 3 know, Ferruccio understands my point, I just told him, I said if what that officer did that night is 4 5 found illegal, like, you know, the person assaulting 6 me, I said I don't want any money from a lawsuit. I said, you know, put it in a scholarship for kids like 7 8 me who come from single-family backgrounds where, you 9 know, dads just maintain sobriety and my mom's been 10 on and off with drugs and alcohol all her life, and 11 recently I had to cut ties with her because it's 12 obvious she's back drinking again. And it's a shame 13 that there's people in the community harassing me as 14 the disabled and they make up fake police reports and 15 Sergeant Dollinger thinks he has the right to violate 16 my constitutional right of life, liberty and pursuit 17 of happiness. 18 MR. PETERS: Mr. Mulqueen --MR. MULQUEEN: -- and hopefully an apology 19 20 and put this all in the past because I deserve an 21 apology and I deserve to be allowed to skate at the 22 skate park when I pay the property tax in this town. 23 MR. PETERS: Mr. Mulqueen --24 It's a shame what the police MR. MULQUEEN:

25

officers did to me and, you know, how they -- you

1	know, I'm disabled. I should, you know, not be
2	illegally assaulted by police officers. And when
3	they ask me what my disability is, the disability,
4	well, it protects my right to, you know, to tell you
5	I'm disabled. I don't have to tell you my medical
6	conditions. Because police officers aren't doctors
7	and counselors. God bless.
8	MR. PETERS: Hey, Ben, can you hear me?
9	MR. YOUNG: I can now.
10	MR. PETERS: You couldn't hear me before?
11	MR. YOUNG: No. Zoom makes it so that only
12	one person can speak at a time.
13	MR. PETERS: Okay. I apologize for that. I
14	was trying he was way past his time. So all
15	right. Go ahead. Thank you.
16	MR. YOUNG: Miss Baughman, are you still with
17	us? Miss Baughman, if you can hear me, you're on
18	mute at the moment.
19	MR. PETERS: Ben, do you have the ability to
20	take her off mute?
21	MR. YOUNG: Because she's on a phone I can
22	only ask her to unmute.
23	MR. PETERS: I got you. Okay.
24	MR. YOUNG: Miss Baughman, if you hear us,
25	you're on mute. We're going I'm going to go ahead

1	and let Mr. Tripp speak in hopes that you can get off
2	mute by the time he's done.
3	MR. FONTE: Hey, Ben, can you explain how to
4	get off mute? She may not know how to do that.
5	MR. YOUNG: Well, I have no idea what kind of
6	phone she's using.
7	MR. FONTE: Usually if you tap your phone,
8	there's a little line across it. So tap it and it
9	will unhook it.
10	MR. YOUNG: Yes.
11	Mr. Tripp, if you would like to go ahead,
12	I'll give Miriam a call while you speak.
13	Mr. Tripp? Mr. Tripp, can you hear us?
14	MR. FONTE: Larry might be on mute, too.
15	MR. PETERS: No, he's not. He must have
16	walked away. I'm looking at it right now and he's
17	not on mute.
18	MR. REVOLDT: Jeff, if I could make a
19	suggestion.
20	MR. PETERS: Yeah.
21	MR. REVOLDT: I suspect that Miriam and Larry
22	want to speak about new business. So maybe we could
23	just move out of order and consider the old business,
24	the three items, and then if they're if we can get
25	them back we'll have them come on before the new

1	business.
2	MR. PETERS: Okay. Yeah.
3	MR. REVOLDT: Can I make a motion I'll
4	make a motion that we deviate from the agenda to
5	begin voting on A, B, and C awaiting Mr. Tripp and
6	Mrs. Baughman.
7	MR. STROIA: Stroia seconds.
8	MR. CERRETA: Ben, go ahead and contact them
9	too and get them back.
10	MR. PETERS: All those in favor, say "aye".
11	("Aye" in unison.)
12	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
13	carries.
14	Okay. We will move on to new business or
15	old business, I'm sorry.
16	May I have a motion and a second to read by
17	title only Ordinance 35-21.
18	MR. CERRETA: Cerreta moves.
19	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt moves.
20	MR. CERRETA: Cerreta seconds.
21	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
22	("Aye" in unison.)
23	MR. PETERS: Opposed? Motion carries.
24	Ben.
25	MR. CERRETA: Ben can't do two things. He's

1	calling
2	MR. FOLTZ: He's on the phone.
3	MR. CERRETA: All right. Go ahead. Someone
4	else read it.
5	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt will read it.
6	An ordinance amending Chapter 935, Waterlines
7	and Hydrants, of the codified ordinances of the City
8	of North Canton, specifically Section 935.02(a), Fee
9	Where Connection Cost Fee Where Connection Cost Is
10	Not Paid By Assessment, to authorize payment plans
11	for the premises located outside the city.
12	MR. PETERS: Well done, Daryl.
13	Chairman Cerreta.
14	MR. CERRETA: All right. Well done, Daryl.
15	Yeah, good reading there.
16	This is third reading. We have talked about
17	this in many and we've had two votes on it already.
18	This just gives those folks that are outside the city
19	a chance to connect to our water and have a payment
20	plan. We do it for the inside folks, we're now just
21	kind of giving the outside folks an opportunity to do
22	that. So I move we go ahead and pass this in the
23	third reading.
24	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt will second.
25	MR. FOLTZ: Foltz seconds.

1	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
2	("Aye" in unison.)
3	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
4	carries.
5	May I have a motion and a second to read by
6	title only Ordinance 38-21.
7	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt moves.
8	MR. CERRETA: Cerreta moves. Cerreta
9	seconds.
10	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
11	("Aye" in unison.)
12	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
13	carries.
14	An ordinance repealing Chapter 149,
15	Recreation Board, of the codified ordinances of the
16	City of North Canton and dissolving the Recreation
17	Board of the City of North Canton.
18	Chairman Stroia.
19	MR. STROIA: We discussed this a couple times
20	before. This can be found on pages 7 and 8. I
21	believe there's only one active committee member
22	that's expiring shortly. There's no more schedules
23	or activities to approve by the board.
24	Anybody have any comments on this?
25	MR. FONTE: No. No, sir.

1	MR. FOLTZ: No. Motion to approve third
2	reading. Foltz.
3	MR. REVOLDT: Second reading.
4	MR. FOLTZ: Second reading.
5	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
6	("Aye" in unison.)
7	MR. PETERS: Opposed? Motion carries.
8	All right. May I have a motion and a second
9	to read by title only Ordinance 39-21.
10	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt moves.
11	MR. FOLTZ: Foltz moves.
12	MR. CERRETA: Cerreta seconds.
13	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
14	("Aye" in unison.)
15	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
16	carries.
17	An ordinance amending Chapter 935, Waterlines
18	and Hydrants, of the codified ordinances of the City
19	of North Canton, specifically Section 935.08, Sale of
20	Water or Sanitary Sewer Service Outside City
21	Boundaries.
22	Chairman Stroia.
23	MR. STROIA: All right. We've read this a
24	couple times before as well. This is on pages 9
25	through 11. Mainly dealing with the outside water

1	connection fees and it would make the administration
2	in charge of negotiations.
3	Any comments or questions on this? This is
4	the second reading, sorry.
5	MR. PETERS: No.
6	MR. STROIA: All right. Motion to approve.
7	MR. CERRETA: Cerreta seconds.
8	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
9	("Aye" in unison.)
10	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
11	carries.
12	Ben? Do we have you?
13	MR. YOUNG: We do. And we have at least
14	Mr. Tripp, I believe. Mr. Tripp?
15	MR. TRIPP: Yeah. Are you ready? Are you
16	ready for me? Are you ready, Ben?
17	MR. YOUNG: I'm going to go back to the
18	slide.
19	All right. I am ready when you're ready,
20	Mr. Tripp.
21	MR. TRIPP: Okay. Larry Tripp, 1127 East
22	Maple, North Canton, Ohio.
23	Come August 1, I will probably say I've been
24	able to enjoy 26 years of retirement. During that
25	time, to remain active and hopefully keep a sound

mind, I have taken several part-time jobs with the intent once it became a job and it was not fun I would leave that position. Yes, those extra dollars for having fun were enjoyable, too, but the one I have probably enjoyed the most is the one I am doing right now with no pay but much gratification. Yes, being active in local government in a minor but productive role.

Each of you have received my e-mail this afternoon in which I disputed various bullet points --

Hello? Who interrupted me?

MR. REVOLDT: You're good, Larry. Go.

MR. TRIPP: Each of you have received my
e-mail this afternoon in which I disputed various
bullet points as presented last week. I stand by
each of my remarks and can ask only one question:
How can you be led to humiliation when you discuss
the post — the proposed increase with friends and
citizens of North Canton as you are trying to explain
you are only worth a \$25-per-month pay increase.
Yes, I, as well as each of you, received
Mr. Revoldt's reply to my earlier e-mail and it
centered on changing times with pay being the major
incentive. Yes, I would agree. But not in a

part-time position where the present occupants and new ones to come are well into their chosen professions and their own retirement plan. As usual, Mr. Revoldt completely evaded my remarks, which is only par for the course. Like the promise of 200 jobs when purchasing the Kmart property, no young talent will run for city council to start a government career.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tonight I see on the agenda ordinance proposed to eliminate recreation board and the creation of a billing dispute board. We are getting more boards than Carter has liver pills. creation of a new board one to confuse the public and waste the time of council? The legislation reads "for the need to monitor and oversee disputes that cannot be handled through normal city channels." First, if there are that many billing disputes, we have a problem far greater than the need for a billing dispute board. We should look straight at the top and question Director of Administration and Finance, why so many problems? We hear of a well-trained and well-paid office staff, so is the creation of this board a hint not all is quite as rosy as being presented within city government walls.

Then, to top it off, the new board would

1	cc	nsist of those now responsible for the billing.
2	Mr	. Mayor, you and I have had a couple of
3	CC	nversations about confusion among the city's
4	ab	out among the citizens of North Canton. This
5	ce	rtainly leads adds to the confusion we are now
6	ex	periencing. And it's funny, Mr the speaker
7	be	fore me would mention John F. Kennedy. The words
8	re	ally echoed through my mind when I think of that
9.	ma	n. Ask not what you can do for yourself but what
10	ус	ou can do to your government. Ponder that when you
11	VC	te tonight on a pay increase.
12		I'm done, Ben. Thank you.
13		MR. FONTE: Thank you.
14		MR. TRIPP: Okay.
15		MR. YOUNG: And it looks like we also have
16	Mi	ss Baughman back.
17		MS. BAUGHMAN: I'm here.
18		MR. YOUNG: Yay. When you're ready, Miss
19	Ba	ughman.
2,0		MS. BAUGHMAN: Okay. Miriam Baughman. 320
21	We	ber Avenue, North Canton.
22	;	I would like to speak on Ordinance 40-2021,
23	Co	mpensation for Elected Officials. I agree with the
24	ra	ises; however, I do not agree for automatic
25	in	creases for the next six years. Consider raising

1	for the next term only. Let the following councils
2	authorize raises for their following two-year
3	periods. A lot can change in a two-year period for a
4	city circumstances. So it's prudent to only
5	authorize raises for a two-year period.
6	Thank you.
7	MR. REVOLDT: Thank you.
8	MR. PETERS: Thank you, Miriam.
9	MR. FONTE: Thank you.
10	MR. PETERS: Okay. Ben, are we caught up on
11	the screen there? Yes, we are. All right, folks, we
12	will move on to new business.
13	May I have a motion and a second to read by
14	title only Ordinance 31-21.
15	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt moves.
16	MR. FOLTZ: Foltz seconds.
17	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
18	("Aye" in unison.)
19	MR. PETERS: Opposed? Motion carries.
20	Ben, please.
21	MR. YOUNG: An ordinance amending the Zoning
22	Code of the City of North Canton to create Chapter
23	1136, Main Street Districts Regulations, establishing
24	the Main Street North Gateway, Main Street North,
25	Main Street Center, and Main Street South and Main

Street South Gateway zoning districts in order to fully enact such districts, together with providing opportunities for future residents and businesses.

MR. PETERS: All right. Thank you. Chairman Revoldt.

MR. REVOLDT: Thank you very much.

Council, what I'd like you to do tonight is do a couple of things. First of all, is I'd like to adopt this, but before we do the first reading is amend it consistent with the memo that you have in your packet listing the changes that we are proposing. This document is dated 5/21, there's one page, and it's entitled "Proposed Amendments for MSZ." Main Street Zone. These changes came as a result of our analysis of the public hearing and working session that Administrator DeOrio, Marty, Sean Suder, our consultant, Ben, and I participated in last Friday. We believe that we have cleaned up the language and addressed many of the issues that were raised at the public hearing.

I'd ask you to look at them, look at the proposed amendments, to determine if there are anything at this point that you find problematic or have questions about.

MR. FONTE: Daryl, Dom here.

1 MR. REVOLDT: Yes. 2 MR. FONTE: I read through the whole thing 3 and I think it was good to modify the building to 25 feet, but the question I still keep asking is, in 4 5 certain areas where the commercial is, I didn't see anything about the light poles, that they can go an 6 7 additional 10 foot with LEDs. So I was curious, if 8 Marty's on the call, if he can clarify what the 9 current zoning rules or how we modified it if we can 10 have that option to, like, for example, you know, where Acme was, they wanted to do that in 2018, and 11 12 we only had to allow 20 foot. So I wanted to know is 13 there in somewhere because I couldn't find it 14 anywhere. 15 MR. REVOLDT: I don't think it was. 16 MR. FONTE: What I need to know, is Marty 17 there? Can we add that into there or do we have 18 flexibility? 19 MR. REVOLDT: I'll tell what you: Marty, are 20 you in? 21 MR. VAN GUNDY: I'm here. Yeah. 22 MR. FONTE: So I think that's important because like the example that had pushed me to think 23

about the MSB thing all along was when the LED

technology changed and I went up to Green to see the

24

30-foot poles in the commercial zoning area. Not residential because that would intrude a little on the lighting pollution, but not with LEDs, not mercury lights or high pressure sodium, you know, it would save the business owner \$96,000, I think it was, or 90,000, and it would save energy, and they can improve the technologies there. And, of course, generate more revenue, which would help offset some of the income tax issues. You know what I mean?

So when we — initially it wasn't permitted to go beyond 20 feet, we couldn't make an exception so that's kind of what got me going on this whole thing to start with. So that's why I'd like the option to see that 30-foot option there in the areas, like, say, for example, across from Kmart we got, you know, all those businesses there and that would save them money, why not have that provision in there and do we need to add it or is there some flexibility. That's what I needed to know.

MR. REVOLDT: I guess the question is, Marty, does it go into 1136 or does it go under -- would you recommend it be placed in another location? It says that it's set forth in 1155.11.

MR. VAN GUNDY: The challenge gets to be in areas that would adjoin residential uses,

particularly with the LED. LED can be fairly intense on the lighting and we do get complaints from --MR. FONTE: From commercial. Marty, commercial only. Yeah. MR. REVOLDT: I mean, Dominic, we've got a problem up on, you know, Karen Benfield up in Doug's ward has complained -- complains about the lighting already from the church. And it's been adjusted. MR. FONTE: Yeah, but like, here's what I'm getting. That backs up to residential. So, for

MR. FONTE: Yeah, but like, here's what I'm getting. That backs up to residential. So, for example, let me give you a perfect example.

Versailles Gardens, which is an apartment complex that backs up to Acme's strip up there, that would be the standard 20-foot poles like we've been using for many years with LED technology, but on the front end, where, like, say, all the commercial stuff is along Main, that should be up to 30 feet, you know, in those areas that don't protrude against residential. But if you're in a church, you're in a residential neighborhood, get it? So that's all I'm saying is that flexibility we need to have, in my opinion.

MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Well, Dom, I think what you're saying we need to take a look at 1155.11.

That's the section that deals with lighting. So,

Ben, if you would be kind enough to place this on the

agenda for next city council of the whole, let's look 1 2 at the existing language. 3 Marty, if you would take a dive at this to 4 see if there's some ways that it can be tweaked. If 5 not, okay, but try to give us a recommendation one way or another or something in between. Does that 6 7 work for you, Dom? MR. FONTE: Yeah. It's just basically in 8 9 commercial zones and -- and, you know, obviously if you back up to residential you got to be cognizant of 10 11 the neighbor; right? So that would be your standard 12 20 foot that's been in account for many, many years. 13 But we had -- remember mercury lights, which were 14 glaring and light pollution and everything. 15 LEDs, if you drive up to Green, Acme Green, you'll 16 see what I'm talking. They're fabulous. And who on 17 our team has been up that way? 18 MR. PETERS: I have. 19 Do you recall -- do you MR. FONTE: 20 understand what I'm talking about? 21 MR. PETERS: Yeah. For commercial areas. It 22 wouldn't work on up against --23 MR. FONTE: That's exactly correct. And I'm 24 110 percent for that. If it's going to help save 25 money for the business owners who are bringing in

1	revenue for the city, that's a win-win plus energy
2	savings; right?
3	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. So let's do this: Let's
4	place this issue on an agenda, the next council of
5	the whole agenda, and we'll look at we'll look at
6	how we might best address it.
7	MR. FONTE: Thanks, Daryl.
8	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. And so this would apply
9	not only for Main Street but our regular business
10	zones; correct?
11	MR. VAN GUNDY: That's correct.
12	MR. REVOLDT: Okay.
13	MR. CERRETA: So we're also going to have the
14	planning commission look at this; right?
15	MR. REVOLDT: Yes. What I'd like to do is
16	have the have the planning commission, as a
17	courtesy, not necessarily have it as a public
18	hearing, but once we've adopted these amendments I'd
19	like to kick it over to the planning commission and
20	have them take just one more look at it to see if
21	there's anything that jumps out at it as we continue
22	to work through the adoption process.
23	MR. CERRETA: One of the questions I had is,
24	you know, at our public hearings some folks, and
25	folks who were developers and here's the other

thing. You know, we looked at this thing. I went 1 through this with a fine-tooth comb but I'm not a 2 qualified contractor and anything like that and I 3 probably looked and found, what, 80 percent of those 4 5 changes. Probably 15 of these things I kind of 6 scribbled. So I want to make sure that when we guide 7 this stuff through it's not just -- we're laymen when 8 it comes to this. I want to make sure that we still 9 have some -- some developers looking at this and 10 making sure when they come to develop in our 11 community that we didn't screw this whole thing up. 12 So I would still like to get some eyes on this from 13 developers, and somehow. Because we are not 14 qualified to do a lot of these. Now, Marty is and 15 he's agreeing with a lot of the things that we want, 16 but I still would like someone who actually wants to 17 build in our community take a look at this. My 18 question was -- go ahead, Daryl. 19 MR. REVOLDT: No. Go ahead. I'm sorry. 20 Finish. 21 My question was, they argued MR. CERRETA: 22 about the 35 feet. Why does it even have to be 23 25 feet? What are we trying to prevent here? A 24 one-story building rather than a two-story building?

I mean, if someone wants to come in and put an ice

cream stand or something at a spot, or something 1 2 that's a building that is, you know, good -- a good 3 business, why are we limiting it to a minimum of 25 feet? That's a two-story building. 4 Am I not 5 correct with that? MR. REVOLDT: That is correct. 6 MR. CERRETA: So what is --7 MR. REVOLDT: Think -- think about 8 9 the economic footprint of what we're trying to achieve. We wanted -- we want capital investment. 10 11 We want employment. And we also wanted some 12 residential on the second floor, if possible. MR. CERRETA: 13 Uh-huh. 14 MR. REVOLDT: Most of the buildings on Main 15 Street, Mark -- and this is a great question -- are 16 two stories. They're already two stories. If they 17 are demolished, we'd like them replaced with 18 two-story buildings. 19 MR. CERRETA: Okay. 20 MR. REVOLDT: And we'd like them to come a 21 little closer to the curb. Again, when you look 22 at -- when you look at kind of what you like or what 23 your vision is for Main Street, is it do you want a

24

25

McDonald's or, well, another fast food -- I shouldn't

say -- another fast food, when you look at the value